Topics in High-Dimensional Probability and Statistics*

Lecture 7: Community detection in random graphs

3

Contents

1	Stochastic block model
	1.1 Stochastic block model with two classes
	1.2 Recovering communities
2	Spectral clustering
3	Performance evaluation

4 Proof of Theorem 3.1

A Appendix

1 Stochastic block model

The stochastic block model (SBM) is a general model for generating non-directed and simple¹ random graphs exhibiting a certain community structure. In these few pages, we'll cover a small aspect of the theory developed in the context of this model. For more details in this direction, we refer the reader to [1] and the references therein.

1.1 Stochastic block model with two classes

Consider $V = \{1, ..., n\}$ as a vertex set. Consider a fixed collection of labels

$$\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n, \quad x_i \in \{-1, +1\},$$

assigned to these vertices. The vertices are then split into two communities

$$V_{-} = \{i : x_i = -1\}$$
 and $V_{+} = \{i : x_i = +1\},$

of respective sizes

$$n_{-} = |V_{-}|$$
 and $n_{+} = |V_{+}|$.

Now, fix q , and consider the random graph <math>G on these vertices whose adjacency matrix $A = (A_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n$ has random and independent entries, has $A_{i,i} = 0$, and satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}(A_{i,j}=1) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} p & \text{if } x_i x_j = +1, \\ q & \text{if } x_i x_j = -1. \end{array} \right.$$

In other words, two vertices in the same community (resp. different communities) are connected with probability p (resp. q) independently of other connections. Since q < p, a typical realization of graph G will display a community structure since vertices in the same community will tend to be more densely connected.

1.2 Recovering communities

A statistical question of interest is the following: Observing only one realization of the adjacency matrix A, and knowing connection probabilities p and q, can we recover the two communities V_{-} and V_{+} ? The problem is equivalent to recovering the (unobserved) labels $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ up to a sign flip and the goal is therefore to construct

$$\{\hat{x}_i\}_{i=1}^n, \quad \hat{x}_i \in \{-1, +1\},$$

based only on A, such that the proportion of misclassified points

$$\min_{\varepsilon \in \{-1, +1\}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1} \{ \hat{x}_i \neq \varepsilon x_i \},$$

is as small as possible (with high probability).

2 Spectral clustering

In this section, we present the main insight we'll use to construct $\{\hat{x}_i\}_{i=1}^n$. First, for technical reasons, let us introduce the modified adjacency matrix A° defined as follows. Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be independent Bernoulli random variables with parameter p, i.e., such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\xi_i = 0) = 1 - p$$
 and $\mathbb{P}(\xi_i = 1) = p$,

and let

$$A^{\circ} = A + \operatorname{diag}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

Matrix A° corresponds to the adjacency matrix of the graph G where loops are added to each vertex with probability p, and can be easily constructed given A. Then, observe that

$$\mathbb{E}[A^{\circ}] = \frac{p+q}{2} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^{\top} + \frac{p-q}{2} x x^{\top},$$

where $\mathbf{1}_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the vector with each entry equal to 1 and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^{\top}$ is the vector of labels. As a result, denoting

$$M = \frac{p-q}{2} x x^\top \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{M} = A^\circ - \frac{p+q}{2} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^\top,$$

we obtain

$$\hat{M} = M + (A^{\circ} - \mathbb{E}[A^{\circ}]),$$

so that, in particular,

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{M}] = M.$$

Remark 2.1. The above representation is interesting for the following reason. Suppose we could access to matrix M. Then we'd be able to reconstruct exactly the two communities. Indeed, matrix M has rank 1 and the label vector x/\sqrt{n} is (up to a sign flip) the unique unit eigenvector of M associated with its non-zero eigenvalue n(p-q)/2. In practice, we can only access to one realization of the adjacency matrix A. But from A, and the knowledge of p and q, we can easily construct \hat{M} which is an unbiased estimator of M.

^{*}Teaching material can be found at https://www.qparis-math.com/teaching.

¹At most one edge between two vertices and no edge from a vertex to itself.

As result, we can consider the following simple strategy: Let

$$\hat{M} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i u_i u_i^{\top},$$

be a spectral decomposition of \hat{M} , where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of \hat{M} and $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are associated orthonormal eigenvectors. Then, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we define

$$\hat{x}_i = \text{sign}(u_1^i) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } u_1^i \ge 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } u_1^i < 0, \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

where u_1^i denotes the *i*-th coordinate of u_1 .

3 Performance evaluation

In the next section, we are going to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose \hat{x}_i is constructed as in (2.1). Then for any $\delta \in (0,1)$, the proportion of misclassified points satisfies,

$$\min_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,+1\}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1} \{ \hat{x}_i \neq \varepsilon x_i \}$$

$$\leq \frac{128}{n^2 (p-q)^2} \max \left\{ v_{p,q} \log \left(\frac{2n}{\delta} \right), \frac{4}{9} \log^2 \left(\frac{2n}{\delta} \right) \right\},$$

with probability at least $1 - \delta$, where

$$v_{p,q} = \frac{3n_{+} - n_{-}}{2}v_{p} + \sqrt{\frac{(n_{+} - n_{-})^{2}}{4}v_{p}^{2} + n_{+}n_{-}v_{q}^{2}},$$

and $v_u := u(1 - u)$.

Let us discuss the implications of this result in the simple situation where

$$n_- = n_+ = \frac{n}{2}.$$

In this case we get

$$v_{p,q} = n\left(\frac{v_p + v_q}{2}\right) \le n\left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right),$$

and the upper bound in Theorem 3.1 is less than

$$\max \left\{ \frac{c_1(p+q)}{(p-q)^2 n} \log \left(\frac{2n}{\delta} \right), \frac{c_2}{(p-q)^2 n^2} \log^2 \left(\frac{2n}{\delta} \right) \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

The above expression goes to 0 with the size n of the graph whenever q < p are independent of n which corresponds to the so called *dense regime*. The *sparse regime* corresponds to

$$p = \frac{a_n}{n}$$
 and $q = \frac{b_n}{n}$,

where $b_n \leq a_n$ and $a_n, b_n \ll n$. One easily checks that, for any $\alpha > 0$ and any 0 < b < a, if

$$a_n = a \log^{1+\alpha} n$$
 and $b_n = b \log^{1+\alpha} n$,

the expression (3.1) tends to 0 with n. Using slightly more sophisticated proofs than the one we present next, we can actually show that the proportion of missclassified vertices tends to zero with high probability provided

4 Proof of Theorem 3.1

We start with a general statement connecting the proportion of misclassified points and the operator norm of

$$A^{\circ} - \mathbb{E}[A^{\circ}].$$

Lemma 4.1. Suppose \hat{x}_i is constructed as in (2.1), then the proportion of misclassified points satisfies,

$$\min_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,+1\}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1} \{ \hat{x}_i \neq \varepsilon x_i \} \le \frac{32}{n^2 (p-q)^2} \| A^{\circ} - \mathbb{E}[A^{\circ}] \|_{\text{op}}^2.$$

Proof. For all $1 \le i \le n$,

$$\mathbf{1}\{\hat{x}_i \neq \varepsilon x_i\} = \mathbf{1}\{\operatorname{sign}(u_1^i) \neq \varepsilon x_i\}$$

$$= \mathbf{1}\{\operatorname{sign}(\sqrt{n}u_1^i) \neq \varepsilon x_i\}$$

$$\leq (\sqrt{n}u_1^i - \varepsilon x_i)^2$$

$$= n(u_1^i - \varepsilon \frac{x_i}{\sqrt{n}})^2.$$

As a result, the proportion of misclassified points satisfies

$$\min_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,+1\}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1} \{ \hat{x}_i \neq \varepsilon x_i \} \le \min_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,+1\}} \|u_1 - \varepsilon \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\|_2^2.$$

Since u_1 and x/\sqrt{n} are both unit eigenvectors associated to the largest eigenvalue of \hat{M} and M respectively, and since the largest eigenvalue of M is n(p-q)/2, the Davis-Kahane $sin(\theta)$ theorem (Theorem A.1) implies that

$$\min_{\varepsilon \in \{-1,+1\}} \|u_1 - \varepsilon \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\|_2^2 \le \frac{32}{n^2 (p-q)^2} \|\hat{M} - M\|_{\text{op}}^2$$

$$= \frac{32}{n^2 (p-q)^2} \|A^\circ - \mathbb{E}[A^\circ]\|_{\text{op}}^2,$$

which concludes the proof.

We now provide a bound for the operator norm of

$$A^{\circ} - \mathbb{E}[A^{\circ}].$$

Lemma 4.2. For any $\delta \in (0,1)$,

$$||A^{\circ} - \mathbb{E}[A^{\circ}]||_{\text{op}} \le \max \left\{ \sqrt{4v_{p,q} \log \left(\frac{2n}{\delta}\right)}, \frac{4}{3} \log \left(\frac{2n}{\delta}\right) \right\},$$

with probability at least $1 - \delta$, where

$$v_{p,q} = \frac{3n_{+} - n_{-}}{2}v_{p} + \sqrt{\frac{(n_{+} - n_{-})^{2}}{4}v_{p}^{2} + n_{+}n_{-}v_{q}^{2}},$$

and $v_u := u(1 - u)$.

Proof. We are going to control $||A^{\circ} - \mathbb{E}[A^{\circ}]||_{\text{op}}$ using the Matrix Bernstein inequality (Theorem A.2). First, note that

$$A^{\circ} - \mathbb{E}[A^{\circ}] = \sum_{i < i} X^{i,j},$$

where $X^{i,j} = (X^{i,j}_{k,l}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denotes the random matrix defined by $X^{i,j}_{k,l} = 0$ if $(k,l) \notin \{(i,j),(j,i)\}$ and

$$X_{i,j}^{i,j} = X_{j,i}^{i,j} = A_{i,j}^{\circ} - \mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}^{\circ}].$$

Note that all matrices $(X^{i,j})_{i \leq j}$ are all independent and sat- In particular, for all $\delta \in (0,1)$,

$$||X^{i,j}||_{\text{op}} = |A_{i,j}^{\circ} - \mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}^{\circ}]| \le 1.$$

Note finally that, for all $i \leq j$, $\mathbb{E}[(X^{i,j})^2] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is the matrix whose (k, l)-entry is 0 if $(k, l) \notin \{(i, j), (j, i)\}$ and whose (i, j)and (j, i) entries are both equal to

$$Var(A_{i,j}^{\circ}) = \begin{cases} p(1-p) & \text{if } x_i x_j = +1, \\ q(1-q) & \text{if } x_i x_j = -1. \end{cases}$$

As a result, for some permutation matrix Q

$$\sum_{i \leq j} \mathbb{E}[(X^{i,j})^2] = Q \left(\begin{array}{cc} p(1-p) \mathbf{1}_{n_+,n_+} & q(1-q) \mathbf{1}_{n_+,n_-} \\ q(1-q) \mathbf{1}_{n_-,n_+} & p(1-p) \mathbf{1}_{n_-,n_-} \end{array} \right) Q^\top,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{n,m}$ denotes the $n \times m$ matrix with all entries equal to 1. In particular, we deduce (and leave it as an exercise) that

$$\begin{split} v_{p,q}(n) &:= \| \sum_{i \leq j} \mathbb{E}[(X^{i,j})^2] \|_{\text{op}} \\ &= \frac{3n_+ - n_-}{2} v_p + \sqrt{\frac{(n_+ - n_-)^2}{4} v_p^2 + n_+ n_- v_q^2}, \end{split}$$

where

$$v_p := p(1-p)$$
 and $v_q = q(1-q)$.

A direct application of Theorem A.2 therefore implies that, for every $\delta \in (0,1)$,

$$\|A^{\circ} - \mathbb{E}[A^{\circ}]\|_{\mathrm{op}} \leq \max \left\{ \sqrt{4v(n)\log\left(\frac{2n}{\delta}\right)}, \frac{4}{3}\log\left(\frac{2n}{\delta}\right) \right\},$$

with probability at least $1 - \delta$.

Appendix \mathbf{A}

Theorem A.1 (Davis-Kahan $\sin(\theta)$ theorem). Let $A, B \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ be two symmetric matrices. Consider the spectral decompositions

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i u_i u_i^{\top} \quad and \quad B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i v_i v_i^{\top},$$

where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$ (resp. $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots$) are the eigenvalues of A (resp. B) and u_i (resp. v_i) is a unit eigenvector of A (resp. B) associated to eigenvalue λ_i (resp. μ_i). Then, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\min_{\varepsilon \in \{-1, +1\}} \|u_i - \varepsilon v_i\|_2 \le \frac{2\sqrt{2} \|A - B\|_{\text{op}}}{\min_{i \neq i} \{|\lambda_i - \lambda_i|\}}.$$

Theorem A.2 (Matrix Bernstein). Let $X_1, \ldots, X_m \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be independent random symmetric matrices. Suppose in addition that there exists B > 0 such that, for all $1 \le i \le m$,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0_{d \times d} \quad and \quad ||X_i||_{\text{op}} \le B.$$

Then, for all t > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i\|_{\text{op}} \ge t\right) \le 2d \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2v(m) + \frac{2Bt}{3}}\right),$$

where

$$v(m) := \| \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] \|_{\text{op}}.$$

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_i\|_{\text{op}} \le \max\left\{\sqrt{4v(m)\log\left(\frac{2d}{\delta}\right)}, \frac{4B}{3}\log\left(\frac{2d}{\delta}\right)\right\},\,$$

with probability at least $1 - \delta$.

References

[1] E. Abbe. Community detection and stochastic block models: Recent developments. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 18(177):1–86, 2018.