

Modern methods of decision making 2021
Seminar · February 1st.

Exercise 1. Physical interpretation of gradients.

Let E be a Euclidean space with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Denote $\|\cdot\|$ the inherited norm defined by $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$. Let $U \subset E$ be open and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable. Select $x \in U$ such that $\nabla f(x) \neq 0$. For all $v \in E$, denote $\gamma_v(t) := x + tv$ (always defined for t small enough). Then, show that

$$\frac{\nabla f(x)}{\|\nabla f(x)\|} \in \operatorname{argmax}_{v \in E: \|v\|=1} (f \circ \gamma_v)'(0),$$

and that

$$\|\nabla f(x)\| = \max_{v \in E: \|v\|=1} (f \circ \gamma_v)'(0).$$

Solution.

Select $v \in E$ such that $\|v\|=1$. Then

$$(f \circ \gamma_v)'(0) = \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{f(x+tv) - f(x)}{t} = d_x f(v).$$

By definition of $\nabla f(x)$, we deduce that

$$(f \circ \gamma_v)'(0) = \langle v, \nabla f(x) \rangle.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz ineq. \Rightarrow

$$(f \circ \gamma_v)'(0) \leq \|v\| \cdot \|\nabla f(x)\| = \|\nabla f(x)\|.$$

It remains to observe that, letting $v^* = \frac{\nabla f(x)}{\|\nabla f(x)\|}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f \circ \gamma_{v^*})'(0) &= \langle v^*, \nabla f(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\langle \nabla f(x), \nabla f(x) \rangle}{\|\nabla f(x)\|} \\ &= \|\nabla f(x)\|, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim.

Exercise 2. Chain Rule

Let E, F, G be normed vector spaces. Let $U \subset E$ and $V \subset F$ be open sets. Let finally $f: U \rightarrow F$ and $g: V \rightarrow G$ be differentiable maps such that $f(U) \subset V$. Show that $g \circ f: U \rightarrow G$ is differentiable and that $\forall x \in U, \forall h \in E$:

$$d_x(g \circ f)(h) = d_{f(x)} g(d_x f(h)).$$

Solution.

We need to prove that, $\forall x \in U$ and $\forall h \in E$ such that $x+h \in U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ f(x+h) &= g \circ f(x) + d_{f(x)} g(d_x f(h)) \\ &\quad + \text{peanuts}(h), \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{\| \text{peanuts}(h) \|_G}{\| h \|_E} \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0.$

To this aim, first notice that since f and g are differentiable, we have:

$$\begin{cases} f(x+h) = f(x) + d_x f(h) + \|h\|_E \varepsilon_f(h) \\ \text{and} \\ g(y+w) = g(y) + d_y g(w) + \|w\|_F \varepsilon_g(w) \end{cases}$$

As a result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ f(x+h) &= g\left(\overbrace{f(x)}^x + \overbrace{d_x f(h) + \|h\|_E \varepsilon_f(h)}^w\right) \\ &= g(f(x)) + d_{f(x)} g\left(d_x f(h) + \|h\|_E \varepsilon_f(h)\right) \\ &\quad + \|d_x f(h) + \|h\|_E \varepsilon_f(h)\|_G \varepsilon_g(d_x f(h) + \|h\|_E \varepsilon_f(h)). \end{aligned}$$

which, by linearity of $d_{f(x)} g$, can be written

$$\begin{aligned} &= g \circ f(x) + d_{f(x)} g(d_x f(h)) + \|h\|_E d_{f(x)} g(\varepsilon_f(h)) \\ &\quad + \|d_x f(h) + \|h\|_E \varepsilon_f(h)\|_G \varepsilon_g(d_x f(h) + \|h\|_E \varepsilon_f(h)) \end{aligned}$$

Call this (terrible) expression
"peanuts(h)"

It is then rather straightforward to see that

$$\frac{\text{peanuts}(h)}{\|h\|_E} = d_{f(n)} g(\varepsilon_f(h)) + \left\| d_n f\left(\frac{h}{\|h\|_E}\right) + \varepsilon_f(h) \right\|_G \varepsilon_g(d_n f(h) + \|h\|_E \varepsilon_f(h))$$

goes to 0 when $h \rightarrow 0$ since

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_f(h) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0 \\ \text{and} \\ d_{f(n)} g(v) \xrightarrow{v \rightarrow 0} 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow d_{f(n)} g(\varepsilon_f(h)) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| d_n f\left(\frac{h}{\|h\|_E}\right) + \varepsilon_f(h) \right\|_G &\leq \left\| d_n f\left(\frac{h}{\|h\|_E}\right) \right\|_G + \left\| \varepsilon_f(h) \right\|_G \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0 \\ &\leq \underbrace{\left\| d_n f \right\|_{op} + \left\| \varepsilon_f(h) \right\|_G}_{\text{Remains bounded}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \varepsilon_g(d_n f(h) + \|h\|_E \varepsilon_f(h)) \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Exercise 3. Classical computations

Let E be Euclidean with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, associated norm $\|\cdot\|$.

- 1) Let $L : E \rightarrow E$ be a symmetric linear map, i.e., such that $\langle L(x), y \rangle = \langle x, L(y) \rangle$

for all $x, y \in E$. Fix $b \in E$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.
Consider the map

$$f(x) = \langle x, L(x) \rangle + \langle x, b \rangle + c.$$

Show that f is differentiable on E and compute its differential and gradient.

2) Fix an integer $K \geq 1$, vectors

$\beta_1, \dots, \beta_K \in E$, constants $\beta_{1,0}, \dots, \beta_{K,0} \in \mathbb{R}$
as well as $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider finally
a differentiable function $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Define $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \sigma(\langle x, \beta_k \rangle + \beta_{k,0}).$$

Compute the differential and gradient of f .

Solution.

1) Consider $x, h \in E$. Then, by bilinearity of the scalar product and linearity of L :

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+h) &= f(x) \underbrace{+ (\langle h, L(x) \rangle + \langle x, L(h) \rangle + \langle h, b \rangle)}_{=: a(h)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\langle h, L(h) \rangle}_{=: b(h)} \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry of L , we clearly have that

$a(h) = \langle h, 2L(x) + b \rangle$
which is clearly linear in h .

Then, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b(h)}{\|h\|_E} &= \frac{\langle h, L(h) \rangle}{\|h\|_E} \\ &\leq \frac{\|L(h)\|_E}{\|L(h)\|_E} \quad (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}) \\ &\leq \|L\|_{op} \|h\|_E \end{aligned}$$

where $\|L\|_{op} := \sup_{h \neq 0} \frac{\|L(h)\|_E}{\|h\|_E} < +\infty$ is the operator norm of L . In particular, we see that

$$\frac{b(h)}{\|h\|_E} \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0. \quad \text{Hence, by definition (and uniqueness) of the differential, we deduce that}$$

$$d_x f(h) = \langle h, 2L(x) + b \rangle$$

and therefore

$$\nabla f(x) = 2L(x) + b.$$

2) Introduce $g_k : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g_k(x) = \langle x, \beta_k \rangle + \beta_{k,0}$. Then

$$f(x) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \sigma(g_k(x)).$$

We deduce that

$$d_x f(h) = \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k d_x (\sigma \circ g_k)(h).$$

By the chain rule,

$$d_n(\sigma \circ g_k)(h) = d_{g_k(n)} \sigma (d_n g_k(h)).$$

But

$$d_n g_k(h) = \langle h, \beta_k \rangle$$

and

$$\forall u, v \in \mathbb{R} : \quad d_u \sigma(v) = \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{\sigma(u+tv) - \sigma(u)}{t}$$

$$= v \cdot \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow 0 \\ t \neq 0}} \frac{\sigma(u+tv) - \sigma(u)}{tv}$$

$$= v \cdot \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ \varepsilon \neq 0}} \frac{\sigma(u+\varepsilon) - \sigma(u)}{\varepsilon}$$

$$= v \sigma'(u).$$

Combining all this, we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} d_n f(h) &= \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \cdot \langle h, \beta_k \rangle \sigma'(\langle n, \beta_k \rangle + \beta_{k,0}) \\ &= \left\langle h, \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \sigma'(\langle n, \beta_k \rangle + \beta_{k,0}) \beta_k \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

which brings

$$\nabla f(n) = \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \sigma'(\langle n, \beta_k \rangle + \beta_{k,0}) \beta_k$$